

Atty. Docket No.: RMWR.P010

Patent 10/635,804

REMARKS

Claims 1 and 26-49 are pending in the application. Claims 1, 26-36, and 39-49 have been canceled without prejudice. New claims 50-63 have been added. New claims 50-63 do not include new matter.

Allowable Subject matter

Claims 37 and 38 were indicated by the Examiner to be allowable if rewritten in independent form to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Applicants have amended claims 37 and 38 accordingly. Claim 37 is amended to be in independent form and to include the limitations of claim 34. Claim 38 is amended to be in independent form and to include the limitations of claim 34. Applicants respectfully submit that claims 37 and 38 as amended are allowable.

New claims 50-56 depend from allowable claim 37 as amended and include further limitations thereon. Therefore, Applicants respectfully submit that claims 50-56 are also allowable.

New claims 57-63 depend from allowable claim 38 as amended and include further limitations thereon. Therefore, Applicants respectfully submit that claims 57-63 are also allowable.

New claims 50-63 do not include any new matter.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 1, 26, 29-30, 32-34, 36, 39-40, 43-44, and 46-49 were rejected as being anticipated by Huang et al., (hereinafter Huang) U.S. Patent 6,058,309. Claims 1, 26, 29-30, 32-34, 36, 39-40, 43-44, and 46-49 have been canceled herein without prejudice. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejection.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 27-28, 31, 41-42, and 45 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huang. Claims 27-28, 31, 41-42, and 45 have been canceled herein

Atty. Docket No.: RMWR.P010

Patent 10/635,804

without prejudice. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejection.

Claim 35 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huang in view of Sorenson et al., U.S. Patent 6,463,298. Claim 35 has been canceled herein without prejudice. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejection.

Information Disclosure Statement


Applicants respectfully request the Examiner's consideration of the Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) submitted January 31, 2006 in this application. Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to include an initialed copy of the IDS form to indicate the Examiner's consideration of the references cited therein.

CONCLUSION

Applicants respectfully submit that this amendment is in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.113(c). All of the rejected claims have been canceled without prejudice herein. The remaining claims have been amended to overcome the stated objections. Therefore, Applicants submit that the claims as amended are in condition for allowance. Applicants earnestly request prompt allowance of the claims and issuance of this application. If there are any issues to be resolved before allowance of this application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to telephone the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,
Courtney Staniford & Gregory LLP

Date: February 23, 2006


Barbara B. Courtney, Reg. No. 42,442
Tel. 408-342-1902